

Quick Reference Guide:

Best Practices for Establishing, Managing, and Reviewing Centers and Institute at U-M

1. Assessing the Need and Strategic Fit

- Discuss with Leadership: Faculty should consult with deans and senior administrators.
 - Determine which category the proposed unit falls under, influencing strategic discussions and documentation needed.
 - Category 1: Broad university impact, requiring presidential approval.
 - Category 2: School/College-specific focus, needing school/college-level approval.
- **Prepare Documentation**: Provide a clear mission, goals, and explain strategic alignment with the School/College University.
- Identify Faculty Leadership: Assemble a committed faculty team.
- Financial Plan: Outline a strategy for external funding (e.g., philanthropy, sponsored research).
- Positioning: Highlight the center's unique contribution to emerging fields.
- Governance Model: Establish governance structures in line with the proposed category.

2. Proposed Unit Criteria

- Strategic Alignment: Ensure alignment with University goals.
 - Tailor criteria based on category classification to ensure alignment (e.g., interdisciplinary scope for Category 1, school/college-centric focus for Category 2, specific research focus for Category 3).
- Unmet Need: Address gaps not covered by existing entities.
- Leadership and Funding Strategy: Secure commitments, faculty leadership and external funding plans relative to category needs.
 - Category 3: Emphasize dependence on external research funding.
- **Support Commitments**: Obtain internal support commitments until external funding is in place. Address if commitments affect classification and approval level (i.e., internal commitments for School/College Centers).

3. Required Documentation

- Business plans and bylaws should reflect the category-specific governance and operational protocols
 - o Business Plan Template: Include a detailed business and financial plan.
 - o **Bylaws**: Provide a governance and organizational bylaws template.

4. Regental Approval (if needed)

- Contact the Office of the Provost to determine if Board of Regents approval is required.
 - Category 1: Typically requires extensive approval, often at the presidential level.
 - Categories 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 may have less stringent approval paths, focusing on the alignment with funding sources or external affiliations.

5. Management and Reporting

- **Biennial review processes:** Should include checks against category-specific goals and metrics (e.g., financial sustainability crucial for Categories 3 and 4, operational efficiency for Category 5).
 - Annual Review: Submit reports detailing achievements, challenges, budget, space use, staffing, faculty activity, and student involvement.
 - Metrics: Track funding, space, staffing, operational effectiveness, teaching impact, research productivity, and external visibility.

For additional information or inquiries not addressed in the toolkit, or to share best practices with the broader university community, please email provost@umich.edu. We will update this document as appropriate.



Quick Reference Guide:

Best Practices for Establishing, Managing, and Reviewing Centers and Institute at U-M

6. Tracking and Categorization

- Implement financial identifiers and track according to the defined categories.
 - Financial Identifier: Track institutes and centers with a unique identifier, using categories (e.g., University Center, School/College Center, Sponsored Research Center) to define scope and oversight. Ensure that the identifier system accounts for the classification of centers, assisting in tracking approval requirements and operational oversight.
- Category Descriptions: Address and provide detailed criteria for each category.
 - Category 1: University Center or Institute
 - Broadly interdisciplinary and inter-institutional, advancing teaching, research, and service activities across multiple units at U-M.
 - Category 2: School/College Center
 - Single-school/college focus on specific, significant academic areas, supporting its strategic goals.
 - Category 3: Sponsored Research Center
 - Dependent on external research grants, with a focus on specific research objectives.
 - Category 4: Faculty Member Center
 - Centered around an individual faculty member's expertise, often linked to recruitment or retention efforts.
 - Category 5: Administrative Center
 - Provides support for teaching and research without conducting independent research.
 - Category 6: Agency Center
 - University-affiliated, but primarily managed by an external organization.

7. Periodic Comprehensive Review

- **Five-Year Review**: Every five years, evaluate the unit's mission relevance, financial sustainability, and leadership performance.
 - Review Process: Conducted every five years by a committee of U-M faculty, selected for their expertise and familiarity with the center or institute; external reviewers may be included.
 - Report Content: Assesses mandate fulfillment, mission relevance, financial stewardship, structure, and leadership.
 - Submission: The committee submits its advisory report to the Dean for review and decision-making.

8. Sunsetting Process (if necessary)

- Closure Standards: Consider closing centers if financial or strategic goals are not met.
- Transition Planning: Plan for staff transition, IT/data management, and resource redistribution if closing.